

MODIFIED FRIEDMANN–LEMAÎTRE EQUATION FOR DILATON-SPIN DARK MATTER IN WEYL–CARTAN SPACE

Olga V. Babourova¹

*Moscow State University, Faculty of Physics, Department of Theoretical Physics,
 Leninskie Gory, Moscow 119992, Russian Federation*

It is proposed to consider dark matter as a perfect dilaton-spin fluid (with particles endowed with intrinsic spin and dilaton charge) in the framework of a gravitational theory with a Weyl–Cartan geometrical structure. The modified Friedmann–Lemaître equation (with a cosmological term) is obtained for the homogeneous and isotropic Universe filled with the dilaton-spin dark matter. On the basis of this equation, we develop a nonsingular cosmological model starting from an inflation-like stage (for super-stiff equation of state), passing radiation-dominated and matter-dominated decelerating stages and turning into a post-Friedmann accelerating era.

1. Introduction

The basis concept of modern fundamental physics consists in the preposition that the spacetime geometrical structure is compatible with the properties of matter filling the spacetime. It means that matter dynamics determines the metric and connection of the spacetime manifold and is in turn determined by the spacetime geometric properties. Therefore the possible deviation from the geometrical structure of general relativity should be stipulated by the existence of matter with unusual properties, which fills spacetime, generates its structure and interacts with it. As examples of such matter, perfect media with intrinsic degrees of freedom are considered, such as a perfect fluid with spin and non-Abelian colour charge [1], a perfect hypermomentum fluid (see [2]–[4] and references therein), and a perfect dilaton-spin fluid [5]. All these fluids are generalization of the Weyssenhoff–Raabe perfect spin fluid [6].

Modern observations [7, 8] lead to the conclusion on the existence of dark (nonluminous) matter whose density exceeds by an order of magnitude the density of baryonic matter which forms stars and the luminous components of galaxies. It is dark matter interacting with positive vacuum energy or quintessence (whose density has the same order of magnitude) [9, 10] that realizes the dynamics of the Universe in the modern era. Another important consequence of the modern observations is an understanding of the fact that the end of the Friedmann era occurs when decelerated

expansion is followed by accelerated expansion, a transition to an unrestrained exponential expansion being possible.

The hypothesis on the existence of dark matter in galaxies was proposed by Zwicky in his pioneering work [11]. But the essence of dark matter is yet unknown. The hypothesis that dark matter was endowed with a new kind of gravitational charge which generates a short-range gravitational interaction of Proca type was put forward in [12]. This interaction is best appreciated in terms of the existence of Weyl–Cartan spacetime. Independently, in [13] it was shown that Weyl–Cartan geometry was generated by a perfect dilaton-spin fluid, and the corresponding non-singular cosmological model was constructed. Then, in [14] the hypothesis on a perfect dilaton-spin fluid as a model of dark matter was proposed in a gravitational theory with Weyl–Cartan geometrical structure.

Within the framework of these ideas, a modified Friedmann–Lemaître (FL) equation with a cosmological term for a homogeneous and isotropic Universe filled with the dilaton-spin dark matter was constructed for an arbitrary equation of state of dark matter [13]–[15]. In the present paper, solutions of this equation for various equations of state are obtained. An inflation-like solution is obtained for superstiff equation of state of dark matter at the early stage of the Universe. The evolution of the Universe starts from a very small but non-zero size, then passes Friedmann decelerating stage and turns into a post-Friedmann accelerating era.

Throughout the paper the signature of the

¹e-mail: babourova@orc.ru

metric is assumed to be $(+, +, +, -)$, and the conventions $c = 1$, $\hbar = 1$ are used.

2. Weyl–Cartan space

Let us consider a connected 4-dimensional oriented differentiable manifold $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with a metric $\check{g}$ of index 1, a linear connection Γ and a volume 4-form η . Then a Weyl–Cartan space CW_4 is defined as the space equipped with the curvature 2-form $\mathcal{R}^\alpha_\beta$ and the torsion 2-form $\mathcal{T}^\alpha$ with the metric tensor and the connection 1-form obeying the condition

$$\begin{aligned} -Dg_{\alpha\beta} &= \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4}g_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{Q}, \\ \mathcal{Q} &= g^{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{Q}_\alpha\theta^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}$ is the nonmetricity 1-form, $\mathcal{Q}$ the Weyl 1-form, and $D = d + \Gamma \wedge \dots$ the exterior covariant differential. Here θ^α ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$) is cobasis of 1-forms and $\wedge$ is the exterior product operator.

The curvature 2-form $\mathcal{R}^\alpha_\beta$ and the torsion 2-form $\mathcal{T}^\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^\alpha_\beta &= \frac{1}{2}R^\alpha_{\beta\gamma\lambda}\theta^\gamma \wedge \theta^\lambda, \\ \mathcal{T}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2}T^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\theta^\beta \wedge \theta^\gamma, \quad T^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} = -2\Gamma^\alpha_{[\beta\gamma]}, \end{aligned}$$

are defined by the Cartan structure equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^\alpha_\beta &= d\Gamma^\alpha_\beta + \Gamma^\alpha_\gamma \wedge \Gamma^\gamma_\beta, \\ \mathcal{T}^\alpha &= d\theta^\alpha + \Gamma^\alpha_\beta \wedge \theta^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

The Bianchi identities for the curvature 2-form, the torsion 2-form and the Weyl 1-form are valid,

$$D\mathcal{R}^\alpha_\beta = 0, \quad D\mathcal{T}^\alpha = \mathcal{R}^\alpha_\beta \wedge \theta^\beta, \quad d\mathcal{Q} = 2\mathcal{R}^\gamma_\gamma.$$

The torsion 2-form can be decomposed into the sum of irreducible pieces $\overset{(i)}{\mathcal{T}}^a$: the traceless 2-form with $i = 1$, the trace 2-form with $i = 2$ and the pseudotrace 2-form with $i = 3$ [16, 17],

$$\mathcal{T}^\alpha = \overset{(1)}{\mathcal{T}}^\alpha + \overset{(2)}{\mathcal{T}}^\alpha + \overset{(3)}{\mathcal{T}}^\alpha,$$

where the torsion trace 2-form and the torsion pseudotrace 2-form are determined by the expressions,

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{(2)}{\mathcal{T}}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{T} \wedge \theta^\alpha, \quad \mathcal{T} = *(\theta_\alpha \wedge *\mathcal{T}^\alpha), \\ \overset{(3)}{\mathcal{T}}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{3}*(\mathcal{P} \wedge \theta^\alpha), \quad \mathcal{P} = *(\theta_\alpha \wedge \mathcal{T}^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Here the torsion trace 1-form $\mathcal{T}$ and the torsion pseudotrace 1-form $\mathcal{P}$ are introduced.

It is convenient to use the auxiliary fields of 3-forms η_α , 2-forms $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$, 1-forms $\eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and 0-forms $\eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\alpha &= *\theta_\alpha, \quad \eta_{\alpha\beta} = *(\theta_\alpha \wedge \theta_\beta), \\ \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= *(\theta_\alpha \wedge \theta_\beta \wedge \theta_\gamma), \\ \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda} &= *(\theta_\alpha \wedge \theta_\beta \wedge \theta_\gamma \wedge \theta_\lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $*$ is the Hodge operator.

In Weyl–Cartan space the following decomposition of the connection 1-form is valid:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^\alpha_\beta &= \overset{C}{\Gamma}^\alpha_\beta + \Delta^\alpha_\beta, \\ \Delta^\alpha_\beta &= \frac{1}{8}(2\theta_{[\alpha}Q_{\beta]} + g_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{Q}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\overset{C}{\Gamma}^\alpha_\beta$ denotes a connection 1-form of a Riemann–Cartan space U_4 with curvature, torsion and metric compatible with the connection. This decomposition of the connection induces the corresponding decomposition of the curvature 2-form [5],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^\alpha_\beta &= \overset{C}{\mathcal{R}}^\alpha_\beta + \overset{C}{D} \Delta^\alpha_\beta + \Delta^\alpha_\gamma \wedge \Delta^\gamma_\beta \\ &= \overset{C}{\mathcal{R}}^\alpha_\beta + \frac{1}{4}\delta^\alpha_\beta \mathcal{R}^\gamma_\gamma + \mathcal{P}^\alpha_\beta, \\ \mathcal{P}^\alpha_\beta &= \frac{1}{4}(\mathcal{T}_{[\alpha}Q_{\beta]} - \theta_{[\alpha} \wedge \overset{C}{D} Q_{\beta]}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8}\theta_{[\alpha}Q_{\beta]} \wedge \mathcal{Q} - \frac{1}{16}\theta_\alpha \wedge \theta_\beta Q_\gamma Q^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overset{C}{D}$ is the exterior covariant differential with respect to the Riemann–Cartan connection 1-form $\overset{C}{\Gamma}^\alpha_\beta$, $\overset{C}{\mathcal{R}}^\alpha_\beta$ is the Riemann–Cartan curvature 2-form and $\mathcal{R}^\gamma_\gamma = d\Gamma^\gamma_\gamma = (1/2)d\mathcal{Q}$ is the Weyl segmental curvature 2-form.

3. Perfect dilaton-spin fluid as a source of Weyl–Cartan spacetime

There are two dominated components of cosmological medium in the present epoch: dark energy and dark matter. According to our hypothesis [14], dark matter is realized as a perfect fluid with intrinsic degrees of freedom, namely, the perfect dilaton-spin fluid. Every particle of this fluid is endowed with spin $S_{\alpha\beta}$ and dilaton charge J . These material sources generate a Weyl–Cartan geometrical structure of spacetime.

The following Noether currents appear in the variational formalism [5]: the canonical energy-momentum 3-form Σ_σ ,

$$\Sigma_\sigma = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}^{fluid}}{\delta \theta^\sigma} = p\eta_\sigma + (\varepsilon + p)u_\sigma u + n\dot{S}_{\sigma\rho}u^\rho u, \quad (3)$$

and the dilaton-spin momentum 3-form $\mathcal{J}^\alpha_\beta$,

$$\mathcal{J}^\alpha_\beta = -\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{fluid}}{\delta \Gamma^\beta_\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}n \left(S^\alpha_\beta + \frac{1}{4}J\delta^\alpha_\beta \right) u. \quad (4)$$

Here ε is the internal energy density of the fluid, $S_{\sigma\rho}$ is the specific (per particle) spin tensor, n is the fluid particles concentration, p is the hydrodynamic fluid pressure, $u = u^\alpha \eta_\alpha$ is the flow 3-form which corresponds the 1-form of velocity $*u = u_\alpha \theta^\alpha$. The ‘dot’ notation for the tensor object Φ is introduced, $\dot{\Phi}^\alpha_\beta = *(u \wedge D\Phi^\alpha_\beta)$.

In a Weyl–Cartan space the matter Lagrangian obeys the diffeomorphism invariance which leads to the equation of motion of the perfect dilaton-spin fluid in the form of a generalized hydrodynamic Euler-type equation. The component of this equation along the 4-velocity yields the energy conservation law along a streamline of the fluid [5]:

$$d\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon + p}{n} dn. \quad (5)$$

The total Lagrangian density 4-form of the theory $\mathcal{L}$ is represented as the sum of the Lagrangian density 4-forms of the gravitational field $\mathcal{L}_{grav}$ and of the dilaton-spin fluid $\mathcal{L}_{fluid}$,

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{grav} + \mathcal{L}_{fluid}, \quad (6)$$

where the Lagrangian density 4-form of the gravitational field is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{grav} = & 2f_0 \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}^\alpha_\beta \wedge \eta^\beta_\alpha + \frac{1}{4} \lambda \mathcal{R}^\alpha_\alpha \wedge * \mathcal{R}^\beta_\beta \right. \\ & + \varrho_1 \mathcal{T}^\alpha \wedge * \mathcal{T}_\alpha + \varrho_2 (\mathcal{T}^\alpha \wedge \theta_\beta) \wedge * (\mathcal{T}^\beta \wedge \theta_\alpha) \\ & + \varrho_3 (\mathcal{T}^\alpha \wedge \theta_\alpha) \wedge * (\mathcal{T}^\beta \wedge \theta_\beta) \\ & \left. + \xi \mathcal{Q} \wedge * \mathcal{Q} + \zeta \mathcal{Q} \wedge \theta^\alpha \wedge * \mathcal{T}_\alpha - \Lambda \eta \right) \\ & + \Lambda^{\alpha\beta} \wedge \left(\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{Q} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here $f_0 = 1/(2\kappa)$ ($\kappa = 8\pi G$), Λ is the cosmological constant, λ , ϱ_1 , ϱ_2 , ϱ_3 , ξ , ζ are the coupling constants, and $\Lambda^{\alpha\beta}$ is the Lagrange multiplier 3-form with the properties, $\Lambda^{\alpha\beta} = \Lambda^{\beta\alpha}$, $\Lambda^\alpha_\alpha = 0$.

In (7) the first term is the linear Hilbert–Einstein Lagrangian generalized to Weyl–Cartan space, the second term is the Weyl quadratic Lagrangian. The Weyl 1-form $\mathcal{Q}$, in contrast to the Weyl’s classical theory, represents the gauge field, which is not related to an electromagnetic field, which is pointed out in [18], [12]. We call the Weyl 1-form $\mathcal{Q}$ a dilatation field (Weyl field). The term with the coupling constant ζ represents

the contact interaction of the dilatation field with torsion, which can occur in Weyl–Cartan space.

The gravitational field equations in Weyl–Cartan spacetime can be obtained by the variational procedure of the first order by varying the Lagrangian (7) with respect to the connection 1-form Γ^α_β (Γ -equation) and to the basis 1-form θ^α (θ -equation) independently, the constraints on the connection 1-form in Weyl–Cartan space being satisfied with the aid of the Lagrange multiplier 3-form $\Lambda^{\alpha\beta}$ [14].

Inclusion of a term with the Lagrange multiplier $\Lambda^{\alpha\beta}$ into the Lagrangian density 4-form means that the theory is considered in Weyl–Cartan spacetime from the very beginning [13]–[15]. Another variational approach has been developed in [17] where the field equations in Weyl–Cartan spacetime have been obtained as a limiting case of the field equations of the metric-affine gauge theory of gravity. These two approaches are not identical in general and coincide only when $\Lambda^{\alpha\beta}$ is equal to zero as a consequence of the field equations.

Our variational method also differs from that of [12], where a variational procedure is constructed for obtaining field equations in empty spacetime, and then material spinless sources are added to these field equations. In contrast to [12], we obtain the following consequences of the Γ -equation,

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{3(\frac{1}{4} + \zeta)}{2(1 - \varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2)} \mathcal{Q}, \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - 4\varrho_1 - 4\varrho_2 - 12\varrho_3) \mathcal{P} \\ & = -\frac{1}{2} \kappa n S^{\alpha\beta} u^\gamma \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + 2\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2) \overset{(1)}{\mathcal{T}}_\alpha \\ & = -\frac{2}{3} \kappa n S_{\beta(\alpha} u_{\gamma)} \theta^\beta \wedge \theta^\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Contracting the Γ -equation and using (8), one finds an equation of Proca type for the Weyl 1-form [14] (compare with [12], [17]):

$$*d*\mathcal{Q} + m^2 \mathcal{Q} = \frac{\kappa}{2\lambda} n J *u, \quad (11)$$

$$m^2 = 16 \frac{\xi}{\lambda} + \frac{3(\varrho_1 - 2\varrho_2 + 8\zeta(1 + 2\zeta))}{4\lambda(1 - \varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2)}. \quad (12)$$

Eq (11) shows that the Weyl field $\mathcal{Q}$, in contrast to Maxwell field, possesses a non-zero rest mass and exhibits a short-range nature [18, 2, 12, 19].

Eqs. (8), (9) and (10) solve the problem of evaluation of the torsion 2-form. Using the algebraic field equations (9) and (10), the traceless and pseudotrace pieces of the torsion 2-form are determined via the spin tensor and the flow 3-form u

of the perfect dilaton-spin fluid in general case. Using Eq (8), one can determine the torsion trace 2-form via the dilatation field $\mathcal{Q}$, for which the differential field equation (11) is valid, and the torsion trace 2-form can propagate in the theory under consideration.

4. Modified Friedmann–Lemaître equation

Let us consider a cosmological model with the Friedmann–Robertson–Walker (FRW) metric with the scale factor $a(t)$,

$$ds^2 = \frac{a^2(t)}{1 - kr^2} dr^2 + a^2(t) r^2 d\Omega^2 - dt^2, \quad (13)$$

In this model the homogeneous and isotropic Universe is filled with a perfect dilaton-spin fluid [14], which realizes a model of dark matter with $J \neq 0$ in contrast to baryonic and quark matter with $J = 0$. In [14] it is shown that in a theory with the Lagrangian (7) only spinless matter with $S_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ can be a source of the gravitational field in a homogeneous and isotropic Universe with the FRW metric (13). In this case, the torsion 2-form consists of only the trace piece. For the FRW metric (13) also $d\mathcal{Q} = 0$ identically, and one can derive the Weyl 1-form $\mathcal{Q}$ algebraically from Eq (11).

For the FRW metric (13) the continuity equation $d(nu) = 0$ (d is the exterior differentiation operator) yields the matter conservation law $na^3 = N = \text{const}$. As an equation of state of the dilaton fluid, we choose the equation of state $p = \gamma\varepsilon$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. Then integration of the energy conservation law (5) for the FRW metric (13) yields

$$\varepsilon a^{3(1+\gamma)} = \mathcal{E}_\gamma = \text{const}, \quad \mathcal{E}_\gamma > 0. \quad (14)$$

Variation of (7) with respect to the basis 1-form θ^α gives one more field equation (θ -equation) with a source in the form of the fluid canonical energy-momentum 3-form (3). In [14] we have decomposed the field θ -equation into Riemannian and non-Riemannian pieces. As a result, we can represent the field θ -equation as an Einstein-like equation

$$\overset{R}{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \overset{R}{R} = \varkappa \left((\varepsilon_e + p_e) u_\alpha u_\beta + p_e g_{\alpha\beta} \right), \quad (15)$$

where $\overset{R}{R}_{\alpha\beta}$, $\overset{R}{R}$ are the Ricci tensor and the curvature scalar of Riemann space, respectively, ε_e and p_e are the energy density and pressure of an

effective perfect fluid:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_e &= \varepsilon + \varepsilon_v - \mathcal{E} \left(\frac{n}{N} \right)^2, \\ p_e &= p + p_v - \mathcal{E} \left(\frac{n}{N} \right)^2, \\ \mathcal{E} &= \alpha \varkappa \left(\frac{JN}{2\lambda m^2} \right)^2, \\ \alpha &= \frac{3 \left(\frac{1}{4} + \zeta \right)^2}{4(1 - \varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2)} + \xi - \frac{3}{64}, \end{aligned}$$

and, moreover, $\varepsilon_v = \Lambda/\varkappa$ and $p_v = -\Lambda/\varkappa$ are the energy density and pressure of vacuum with the equation of state $\varepsilon_v = -p_v > 0$.

The field equation (15) yields the modified Friedmann–Lemaître (FL) equation for the perfect dilaton fluid with the equation of state $p = \gamma\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} &= \frac{\varkappa}{3a^6} \left(\varepsilon_v a^6 + \mathcal{E}_\gamma a^{3(1-\gamma)} - \mathcal{E} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We put $k = 0$ in (16) in accordance with the modern observational evidence [7, 9, 10], which shows that the Universe is spatially flat in the cosmological scale.

Another component of Eq. (15) has the form

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \frac{\varkappa}{3a^6} \left[\varepsilon_v a^6 - \frac{1}{2} (1 + 3\gamma) \mathcal{E}_\gamma a^{3(1-\gamma)} + 2\mathcal{E} \right]. \quad (17)$$

5. Evolution scenario of the Universe with dilaton dark matter

Our hypothesis consists in assuming that the Universe evolution begins from the superstiff stage, when the equation of stage of the dilaton fluid is $\gamma = 1$. In this case the equation (14) yields $\varepsilon a^6 = \mathcal{E}_1 = \text{const}$. The FL equation (16) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 &= \frac{\varkappa}{3a^6} (\varepsilon_v a^6 + \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}) \\ &= \frac{\varkappa \varepsilon_v}{3a^6} (a^6 - a_{\min}^6), \end{aligned}$$

and can be exactly integrated. The solution corresponding to the initial data $t = 0$, $a = a_{\min}$ reads [14],

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a_{\min} (\cosh \sqrt{3\Lambda} t)^{1/3}, \\ a_{\min} &= \left(\frac{\alpha \varkappa^2}{\Lambda} \left(\frac{JN}{2\lambda m^2} \right)^2 - \frac{\varkappa \mathcal{E}_1}{\Lambda} \right)^{1/6}. \end{aligned}$$

This solution describes the inflation-like stage of the evolution of the Universe, which continues until the equation of state of the dilaton matter changes and becomes no more superstiff.

When a smooth jump of equation of state from $\gamma = 1$ to $\gamma = 1/3$ happens, the FL equation (16) describes a graceful exit from the superrigid stage to the radiation stage of the Universe evolution.

Consider the radiation-dominated stage with $\gamma = 1/3$. In this case the Eq (14) yields $\varepsilon a^4 = \mathcal{E}_{1/3} = \text{const}$. The modified Friedmann-Lemaître equation (16) for the expanding Universe ($\dot{a} > 0$) takes the form

$$\dot{a} = \sqrt{\frac{\mathfrak{A}\varepsilon_v}{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{a^2} \left(a^6 + \frac{\mathcal{E}_{1/3}}{\varepsilon_v} a^2 - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\varepsilon_v} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (18)$$

When $\dot{a} = 0$, an extremum of the scale factor is realized. In case $a \ll 1$, when $\mathcal{E}$ is positive ($\alpha > 0$) and sufficiently small compared the value of $\mathcal{E}_{1/3}$, this extremum is approximately equal to

$$a_{m1} \approx \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}_{1/3}} \right)^{1/2} = a_1 \ll 1.$$

This value realizes a minimum of the scale factor because from Eq (17) one can see that for this value the $\ddot{a} > 0$.

In the limit $t \rightarrow 0$, $a \rightarrow a_1$ Eq (18) can be integrated giving

$$a^2 + a_1^2 \ln \frac{a}{a_1} = a_1^2 + 2\sqrt{\frac{\mathfrak{A}\mathcal{E}_{1/3}}{3}} t.$$

This solution demonstrates a correct behavior $a \sim \sqrt{t}$ of the scale factor of the Friedmann radiation-dominated stage at small (but not infinitesimal) time.

When the radiation energy density becomes sufficiently small compared to matter energy density, a matter-dominated stage begins with $\gamma = 2/3$. Then (14) yields $\varepsilon a^5 = \mathcal{E}_{2/3} = \text{const}$, and the modified FL equation (16) for $\dot{a} > 0$ takes the form

$$\dot{a} = \sqrt{\frac{\mathfrak{A}\varepsilon_v}{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{a^2} \left(a^6 + \frac{\mathcal{E}_{2/3}}{\varepsilon_v} a - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\varepsilon_v} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (19)$$

If $\mathcal{E}$ is sufficiently small compared to $\mathcal{E}_{2/3}$, a minimum of the scale factor (when $\dot{a} = 0$ holds) in case $a \ll 1$ is approximately given by

$$a_{m2} \approx \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}_{2/3}} = a_2 \ll 1. \quad (20)$$

Eq (17) in case $\gamma = 2/3$ reads

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \frac{\mathfrak{A}}{3a^6} \left(\varepsilon_v a^6 - \frac{3}{2} a \mathcal{E}_{2/3} + 2\mathcal{E} \right), \quad (21)$$

Using this equation, one can easily verify that the value (20) of the scale factor corresponds to the condition $\dot{a} > 0$.

In the limiting case $t \rightarrow 0$, $a \rightarrow a_2$ Eq (19) can be integrated with the solution,

$$a^{5/2} + \frac{5}{6} a_2 a^{3/2} = \frac{11}{6} a_2^{5/2} + \frac{5}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mathfrak{A}\mathcal{E}_{2/3}}{3}} t.$$

This solution demonstrates a correct behavior $a \sim t^{2/5}$ of the scale factor of the Friedmann matter-dominated stage at small (but not infinitesimal) time.

In the limit case $t \rightarrow \infty$, $a \rightarrow \infty$, Eq (19) has a de-Sitter-like solution with $\ddot{a} > 0$,

$$a = C \exp \left(\frac{\Lambda}{3} t \right), \quad C > 0,$$

where C is an arbitrary positive constant. Thus an accelerating stage of the Universe evolution is predicted.

Equating the right-hand side of Eq (21) to zero, one can see that there are two points of inflection of the scale factor plot [14]. The first one has a very small value, but the second one,

$$a_{infl} \approx \left(\frac{3\mathfrak{A}\mathcal{E}_{2/3}}{2\Lambda} \right)^{1/5},$$

corresponds to the modern era. This is the point, when the Friedmann expansion with deceleration is replaced by an accelerated expansion, which agrees with the modern observational data [8], [9].

The last stage of expansion is a dust stage with $\gamma = 0$. In this case Eq (14) yields $\varepsilon a^3 = \mathcal{E}_0 = \text{const}$, where $\mathcal{E}_0$ is the total mass-energy of dilaton matter of the Universe.

The modified Friedmann-Lemaître equation (16) for the expanding Universe ($\dot{a} > 0$) takes the form

$$\dot{a} = \sqrt{\frac{\mathfrak{A}\varepsilon_v}{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{a^2} \left(a^6 + \frac{\mathcal{E}_0}{\varepsilon_v} a^3 - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\varepsilon_v} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (22)$$

When $\dot{a} = 0$, then we have a minimum of the scale factor,

$$a_{m3}^3 = -\frac{\mathcal{E}_0}{2\varepsilon_v} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}_0^2}{\varepsilon_v^2} + \frac{4\mathcal{E}}{\varepsilon_v}}.$$

If $\mathcal{E}_0$ is very large, one has

$$a_{m3} \approx \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}_0} \right)^{1/3} = a_0 \ll 1.$$

In this case Eq (22) can be exactly integrated with the solution

$$a^3 + \frac{\mathcal{E}_0}{2\varepsilon_v} + \sqrt{a^6 + \frac{\mathcal{E}_0}{\varepsilon_v} a^3 - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\varepsilon_v}} = C e^{\sqrt{3\Lambda} t}, \quad (23)$$

where C is an arbitrary constant. For the initial conditions $t = 0$, $a = a_{m3}$, the value of this constant is

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}_0^2}{\varepsilon_v^2} + \frac{4\mathcal{E}}{\varepsilon_v}},$$

and the solution (23) takes the form

$$a = \left(\frac{\mathfrak{a}\mathcal{E}_0}{2\Lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{4\Lambda\mathcal{E}}{\mathfrak{a}\mathcal{E}_0^2}} \coth(\sqrt{3\Lambda} t) - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Another form of this solution is

$$a = \left(\frac{\mathfrak{a}\mathcal{E}_0}{2\Lambda} \left(\coth(\sqrt{3\Lambda} t) - 1 \right) + a_{m3}^3 \coth(\sqrt{3\Lambda} t) \right)^{1/3}. \quad (24)$$

If one puts in (24) $a_{m3} = 0$, there appears a cosmological monotonic model of M_1 type [20] which after an inflection point asymptotically turns into the empty de Sitter universe as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

6. Conclusions

Various non-standard cosmological theories lead to various modifications of the Friedmann–Lemaître equation.

In metric-affine gravity (MAG) one obtains a modified FL equation similar to (16), but without a cosmological term [17]. After analyzing this equation, the authors of [17] conclude that “purely dilational matter amplifies gravitational attraction. In particular, it accelerates rather than retards the possible collapse of a system.” In this cosmological theory, the analogue of our constant α is negative that “corresponds to an additional effective *attractive* force dominating during the very early stages of evolution” of the Universe. Recently in [21] the SN Ia supernovae data were analyzed within this non-standard cosmological model with the cosmological term added.

In [12], the similar modified FL equation (also without a cosmological term) was obtained in the framework of the Einstein–Proca–matter system appearing from the Weyl–Cartan geometrical approach to the gravitational theory. For the pressure-free dust case $\gamma = 0$ it was shown by numerical methods that this equation has both singular and nonsingular solutions.

In [22], in the framework of version of D-brain cosmology on the boundary of anti-de Sitter space, the modified FL equation similar to (16) appears

with $\mathcal{E} \sim Q_{4+1}^2$, where Q_{4+1} corresponds to an “electric charge” in (4+1)-dimensional sense.

In our theory, the set of equations (16)–(17) describes a nonsingular model of evolution of the Universe starting from an inflation-like stage (for superstiff equation of state), passing through radiation-dominated and matter-dominated decelerating stages and turning into a post-Friedmann accelerating era.

References

- [1] O.V. Babourova, A.S. Vshivtsev, V.P. Myasnikov and B.N. Frolov, *Phys. Atomic Nucl.* **61**, No 5, 802 (1998), No 12, 2175 (1998).
- [2] O.V. Babourova, B.N. Frolov and M.Yu. Koroliov, in: “13th Int. Conf. on Gen. Relat. and Grav. Abstracts of Contrib. Papers”, Cordoba (Argentina), 1992, 131.
- [3] Y.N. Obukhov and R. Tresguerres, *Phys. Letters A* **184**, 17 (1993).
- [4] O.V. Babourova and B.N. Frolov, *Intern. J. Mod. Phys.* **13**, 5391 (1998).
- [5] O.V. Babourova, B.N. Frolov, *Mod. Phys. Letters A* **12**, 2943 (1997); **13**, 7 (1998) (gr-qc/9708006, 9708009).
- [6] J. Weyssenhoff and A. Raabe, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **9**, 7 (1947).
- [7] J.R. Bond et al, “CMB Analysis of Boomerang & Maxima & the Cosmic Parameters Ω_{tot} , $\Omega_b h^2$, $\Omega_{cdm} h^2$, Ω_Λ , n_s ”, Proc. IAU Symposium 201 (PASP), CITA-2000-65, astro-ph/0011378.
- [8] S. Perlmutter et al, *Astrophys. J* **517**, 565 (1999) (astro-ph/9812133).
- [9] N.A. Bahcall, J.P. Ostriker, S. Perlmutter and P.J. Steinhardt, *Science* **284**, 1481 (1999) (astro-ph/9906463).
- [10] C. Arredanz-Picon, V. Mukhanov and P.J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 4438 (2000) (astro-ph/0004134).
- [11] F. Zwicky, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **6**, 110 (1933).
- [12] R.W. Tucker and C. Wang, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **15**, 933 (1998) (gr-qc/9612019).
- [13] O.V. Babourova and B.N. Frolov, *Gravit. & Cosmol.* **5** Suppl. 65 (1999) (in Russian).
- [14] O.V. Babourova and B.N. Frolov, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, 1423 (2003) (gr-qc/0209077).
- [15] O.V. Babourova and B.N. Frolov, *Gravit. & Cosmol.* **9**, 15 (2003).
- [16] F.W. Hehl, J.L. McCrea, E.W. Mielke and Yu. Ne’eman, *Phys. Reports* **258**, 1 (1995) (gr-qc/9402012).

- [17] Yu.N. Obukhov, E.J. Vlachynsky, W. Esser and F.W. Hehl, *Phys. Rev. D* **56** N 12, 7769 (1997) (gr-qc/9705039).
- [18] R. Utiyama, *Progr. Theor. Phys.* **50**, 2080 (1973); *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **6**, 41 (1975).
- [19] J. Solá, *Phys. Lett. B* **228**, 317 (1989).
- [20] R. C. Tolman, “Relativity, Thermodynamics and Cosmology”, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1969.
- [21] D. Puetzfeld, X. Chen, “Testing non-standard cosmological models with supernovae”. gr-qc/0402026; to appear in *Class. Class. Quantum Grav.*
- [22] C. Barceló and M. Visser, *Phys. Lett. B* **489**, 183 (2000) (hep-th/0004056).